

COMPLEX PROJECTS REQUIRE RESOLVE **THRASHER'S GOT IT** 

#### ROANE COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION ROANE COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

#### **NEW SPENCER MIDDLE SCHOOL**

#### ADDENDUM #1

#### JUNE 15, 2022

#### **THRASHER PROJECT #060-10259**

#### TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

A Pre-Bid Conference was held on Tuesday, June 14, 2022 on the above-referenced project, a copy of the sign in sheet is included in this Addendum. The following are clarifications and responses to questions posed by contractors for the above reference project.

#### A. <u>GENERAL</u>

#### 1. <u>THE BID DATE HAS BEEN EXTENDED TO 1:30 P.M. ON THURSDAY,</u> <u>JULY 14, 2022.</u>

2. The Geotech report has been added to this addendum as it was accidentally omitted from the electronic version.

#### B. <u>SPECIFICATIONS</u>

1. None on this Addendum

#### C. <u>DRAWINGS</u>

1. None on this Addendum

#### D. <u>QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES</u>

1. None on this Addendum

#### E. <u>CLARIFICATIONS</u>

1. None on this Addendum

ADDENDUM #1 June 15, 2022 Page 2 of 2

If you have any questions or comments, please feel free to contact our office at your earliest convenience. As a reminder, bids will be received until 1:30 p.m. on Tuesday, July 14, 2022 at Roane County Board of Education, 813 Capital Street, Spencer, WV. Good luck to everyone and thank you for your interest in the project.

Sincerely,

THE THRASHER GROUP, INC.

Matthew Breakey, AIA, NCARB Project Manager



ROANE COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION ROANE COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA NEW SPENCER MIDDLE SCHOOL

## MANDATORY PRE-BID CONFERENCE Tuesday, June 14, 2022

Thrasher Project #060-10259

17th and 1 Now 1 101	Richard Duncan (		Ben Braga 1	BETH NEIGHBORGALL N		Jason Mª Quain (	erson (	Joc H'll	Name
Start 2 Finich cond	RCBOE	J Kanawha Stone Company, Inc.	WN Pauling	NERGHBORGAUL CONST.	Finnie Plumbing	ity Construction	ity Constanction	Mres Construction	Representing
104-15-15-2143	(304) 927-6400	304-755-8271	304-550-583	704-525-5181	304-250-7100	623-2573	304.623-2573	304-925-3190	Phone #
Jett roy 6 52 F const @ out look, com	valuncan@ K12. wv.us	orat. Selders @ Kananhastone. cam	ben brange wypaning lom	704-525-5181 estimating @ nightway !!	Jofinnie plumbing Onhotmail com	Jasan @ (CCWV.US	beau (2) cccwv. vs	estimatine mesconstruction	Email Address

	×				MAR MILLER	BH PARIFIE	Name
					SBA VILLENE	TTZ	Representing
							Phone #
							Email Address

#### 

ADDED: Addendum #1 June 15, 2022 Page 1 of 37



American Geotech, Inc. 601 Ohio Avenue Charleston, WV 25302 (304) 340-4277 Fax 340-4278 AMERICAN GEOTECH, INC.

**Geotechnical, Environmental and Testing Engineers** 

REPORT OF GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATION & ENGINEERING ANALYSIS PROPOSED NEW SPENCER MIDDLE SCHOOL NORTH SIDE OF EXISTING ROANE COUNTY HIGH SCHOOL SPENCER, ROANE COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

**Prepared For** 

THE THRASHER GROUP, INC. CHARLESTON, WEST VIRGINIA FEBRUARY - 2022

(This report contains 13 pages, plus appendices)

June 15, 2022 Page 2 of 37

#### AMERICAN GEOTECH, INC. GEOTECHNICAL, ENVIRONMENTAL AND TESTING ENGINEERS

601 OHIO AVENUE CHARLESTON, WV 25302 (304) 340-4277 Fax (304) 340-4278

February 2, 2022

Ms. Amanda Cheuvront The Thrasher Group, Inc. 300 Association Drive Charleston, WV 25311

#### Re: Report of Geotechnical Exploration and Engineering Analysis Proposed New Spencer Middle School North Side of Existing Roane County High School Spencer, Roane County, West Virginia

Dear Ms. Cheuvront:

In accordance with your request and authorization, American Geotech, Inc. (AGI) has performed a geotechnical subsurface exploration and engineering analysis for the proposed new Spencer Middle School, to be located on the north end of the existing Roane County High School in Spencer, Roane County, West Virginia. The detailed geotechnical report is attached herewith.

It is recommended that the contract documents must follow International Building Code (IBC) requirements, including a Schedule of Special Inspection Services for soils and foundations in the plans. At a minimum, the Geotechnical Engineer of Record (GER) shall provide on-site observations, testing, and special inspection during site grading, soil compaction, drilled pier installation, and floor slab construction.

We appreciate the opportunity of providing these services to you. If you have any questions concerning the information in this report, or should questions develop as the design proceeds, please contact our office at 304-340-4277.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully Submitted, NENEREREDITED **AMERICAN GEOTECH, INC.** J. Patu Kanti S. Patel, M.S.C.E., P.E. **Principal Engineer** 

#### GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING EXPLORATION AND ANALYSIS

#### PROPOSED SPENCER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPENCER, WEST VIRGINIA

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

A brief summary of our recommendations for this project is presented below. This summary should be read in context with the entire report for proper interpretation.

#### Special Issues

- It is recommended that the contract documents must follow International Building Code (IBC) requirements, including a Schedule of Special Inspection Services for soils and foundations in the plans. At a minimum, the Geotechnical Engineer of Record (GER) shall provide on-site observations, testing, and special inspection during site grading, soil compaction, drilled pier installation, and floor slab construction.
- The site is a previously filled split hollow underlain by wet and soft colluvial soils.
- The proposed building footprint straddles both sides of the filled hollow. Within the currently proposed building location, shallow bedrock is present over the approximate southwestern third of the building and a filled hollow containing up to 33 feet of unengineered soil and rock fill is present over the approximate eastern two-thirds of the building.
- It is our opinion that the proposed building can be supported on a combination foundation system, consisting of conventional spread footings within the areas where bedrock is present within a depth of 7 feet below the proposed subgrade elevation, and drilled piers bearing on bedrock within the areas of deeper, unengineered fill.
- Approximately 30% of the building foundations around the south corner and western end can bear directly on the shale bedrock using conventional footings with some limited over-excavation to follow the sloping rock surface.
- A system of end-bearing drilled concrete piers and grade beams should be used throughout the remaining foundation sections to provide bearing on the underlying bedrock.
- Settlement of up to 2.5 inches for the slab-on-grade over the life of the building would have to be expected and future maintenance will be required.

#### Foundations

- The proposed building should be supported on grade beams and grouted drilled piers extended to bedrock throughout the filled hollow sections. We recommend that the foundation system be designed by a structural engineer.
- All walls and columns should be supported on 30-inch diameter drilled piers extended into bedrock with a minimum 6 foot rock socket.
- Drilled piers should be designed for 24,000 pounds-per-square-foot (PSF) end bearing capacity, which includes skin friction within the 6 foot rock socket.

- The drilled pier depths at this site should range from approximately 13 to 55 feet below the bottom of the grade beams.
- Surrounding the southern corner and western end of the new building, the foundations can bear directly on shallow bedrock.
- We recommend all foundations surrounding the southwestern third of the building be over-excavated, where necessary, to follow the sloping bedrock surface to a maximum depth of 7.0 feet below the final surface elevation. All overexcavations should be backfilled to the design bearing elevation using 1,000 PSI lean concrete.
- The base of all footings/grade beams should be at least 36 inches below the final exterior grade for adequate frost protection.

#### Floor Slab Support

- The new engineered fill will be considered suitable for floor slab support, following the recommended heavy duty proof-rolling and subgrade preparation activities. We recommend that any soft or yielding materials within the building footprint be removed to the level of the underlying firm materials and replaced with controlled, compacted, engineered fill.
- After the subgrade has been proof-rolled and prepared according to the guidelines in the <u>Site Preparation</u> section, the slab-on-grade sections can be designed based on a subgrade modulus of 100 PCI, bearing on new engineered fill soils.
- Periodic raising or leveling of slabs-on-grade will be required within the floor slab sections overlying the filled hollow. Slabs can be lifted back into place using pressurized injection of lime paste grout slurry, often referred to as mud jacking.

June 15, 2022 Page 5 of 37

#### INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of our geotechnical subsurface exploration and engineering analysis for the proposed new Spencer Middle School in Spencer, West Virginia. The purpose of this exploration was to generally define the subsurface conditions at this site and to characterize these conditions for the proposed structure.

This exploration included the drilling of an additional seven (7) Standard Penetration Test borings, visual observations of the general project site, the associated laboratory testing of the representative samples, and the report preparation. The exploration was authorized by Ms. Amanda Cheuvront, of Thrasher Group, and the work was performed in accordance with our verbal proposal/agreement.

This report is intended to provide detailed information concerning subsurface conditions within the proposed construction site, sufficient for the basic design of the foundation system, and to provide geotechnical engineering recommendations for the site preparation, site drainage, foundation design, and floor slab support.

#### **PROJECT INFORMATION**

It is proposed to construct a new middle school at the existing high school site, located on the western side of U.S. Route 119 in Spencer, West Virginia. Presently, the site area planned for the new middle school is located on the northern end of the existing Roane County High School. The proposed site is occupied by an asphalt parking lot, driveways, sidewalks, and open lawn space with several mature trees and shrubs. All utilities are located underground within the new school footprint and will require relocation. Existing site grades within the new school area range from 814 feet to 817 feet. The new school floor elevation will match with the existing building floor at 817.33 feet, which will require 1 to 4 feet of new engineered fill to achieve finished grade. The new building will consist of one and two-story sections constructed of loadbearing masonry walls with a brick exterior veneer. The ground floor will be an industry standard concrete slab-on-grade, with the second floor being concrete slab on metal decking.

Preparation of the existing level high school site required significant modification of the original ground and earthwork. This resulted in the filling of former sidehill hollows with unengineered fill consisting of shot rock and soil. The back (western) portion of the site was leveled by constructing a highwall cut. Prior to the mass grading operations, a split drainage swale crossed the site near the central parking lot area and converged before running southeast. Up to 35 feet of existing fill over a layer of shot rock may be present in the old swale/hollow area near the southeastern corner of the proposed middle school building.

#### SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION

Seven (7) additional Standard Penetration Test soil borings (B-9 to B-15) were drilled by AGI at the approximate locations shown on the attached Test Boring Location Plan. The test borings

ADDED: Addendum #1 June 15, 2022 Page 6 of 37

were drilled using a track-mounted drill rig on January 27 and 28, 2022. Seven (7) SPT soil borings (B-1 to B-7) were drilled at this site during our preliminary geotechnical exploration on November 18 and 19, 2021. The test borings were staked in the field by AGI personnel, referencing the existing site features. The test borings were advanced, and the bore holes were stabilized, using 2.25-inch interior diameter hollow stem augers. Sampling was accomplished in the undisturbed material below the bottom of the augers using a split-spoon sampler. The split-spoon sampler, having an exterior diameter of 2.0-inches and an interior diameter of 1<sup>3</sup>/<sub>8</sub>-inch, was driven with a 140-pound automatic hammer falling 30 inches, in accordance with ASTM D 1586. The soil samples were recovered at 2.5 foot intervals within the upper 10 feet. The test borings were drilled to completion depths ranging from 3.8 to 47.0 feet below the present site grades.

Upon completion of the test borings, the holes were backfilled using the auger cuttings and the soil samples were returned to our soil mechanics laboratory, where they were visually examined by the project engineer and grouped for laboratory testing. The laboratory test program included natural moisture contents and pocket penetrometer readings on the representative soil samples.

The attached test boring logs were then prepared by the project engineer, using the results of the laboratory tests, recovered soil samples, and notes taken by the drill foreman during the drilling operations. The classified logs and the basis for recommendations are included in the appendix. Each log gives the depth, thickness, and visual description of the soil strata penetrated, along with the sample identification data.

#### SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

The subsurface conditions are somewhat complex as a result of the past cutting and filling with mine related fill materials. These complex conditions are characterized by weathered bedrock just below the ground surface on the western end of the new building to unengineered soil and rock fill extending to depths of up to approximately 33 feet within an old hollow over the southeastern corner of the new building area. Unengineered fill materials were encountered in all test borings to depths ranging from 1.0 foot to 33.0 feet below the present surface grades.

The unengineered fill can generally be described as uneven mixtures of silty clay, sandy clay, rock fragments, cobbles, boulders, and organics. In B-7, B-10, and B-13, below roughly 7 to 8 feet of soil and rock fill, boulders were present just above the level of natural soil. In B-14, a 5.5 feet thick layer of weathered rock fill was present overlying damp and soft natural clay. The existing fill materials were noted as moist, with moisture contents varying from 8.6% to 24.6%. Pocket penetrometer readings varied from 1.5 to 4.5 tons-per-square-foot (tsf). An unconfined compressive strength test of a fill sample from B-6 produced a result of 1.27 tsf. SPT N-values were erratic and varied from 4 to 46 blows-per-foot (bpf), which are classified as soft to very stiff in consistency. It should be noted that SPT results above 15 are undoubtedly due to the presence of larger rock pieces in the fill and should not be viewed as accurate representations of fill density. Recovery in the split spoon sampler was highly variable and ranged from 0% to 100% with an average of 60%. There was no indication of any systematic and well monitored compaction of the existing fill at this site. Although, it appears that some areas have received

some degree of compaction, likely from repetitive traffic from heavy equipment. It appears, as would be expected, that the relative density of the existing unengineered fill is highly variable.

Natural soil materials were encountered in B-4, B-7, B-9, B-14, and B-15 below depths of 0.7 to 33.0 feet below the present surface grades. The natural material in B-4 and B-14 consisted of gray silty clay with organics and was reminiscent of soils found in natural drainage features. A thin coal seam was present below this stratum in B-4 and a layer of plastic clay was present at approximately 15.3 feet below the existing surface. In B-7 and B-9, the natural soils consisted of orangish-brown, brown, reddish-brown and gray silty clay with rock fragments. B-15 encountered tan, gray and maroon clay with shale fragments below a depth of 8.5 feet. The natural soils were noted as moist to wet, with moisture contents of 17.2% to 19.8%. SPT N-values were on the order of 12 to 13 bpf. B-4 was extended to a completion depth of 16.5 feet. The natural soil layers in B-7, B-9, B-14, and B-15 extended to depths of approximately 2.0 to 42.0 feet below the surface, whereupon weathered bedrock was encountered.

Weathered bedrock materials were encountered at depths ranging from 1.0 to 42.0 feet below the present surface in B-1 to B-3, B-7, B-9, B-11, B-14 and B-15. These bedrock materials consisted of primarily of gray, brown, grayish-brown, tan and maroon shale, sandy shale, and silty shale. Iron-staining of the shale was noted as a few locations, indicating the possible presence of pyritic sulfur. In B-7 and B-15, the upper weathered bedrock consisted of dark gray and black carbonaceous shale. These weathered bedrock materials were classified as very soft to medium hard in relative hardness. Below a depth of 46.0 feet in B-14, hard gray sandstone was encountered. Most of the referenced test borings were terminated at depths of 3.8 to 16.5 feet below the existing surface grades without encountering auger refusal. Auger refusal occurred at depths of 47.0 feet in B-14 and 27.0 feet in B-15 below the existing site grades.

Groundwater was present while drilling at a depth of 36.0 feet in B-14. Groundwater was encountered within the fractured shale formation in B-1 and B-14 at depths of 11.0 and 45.0 feet. Groundwater was not noted during, or at the completion of, the drilling in the other test boring. We should state, however, that fluctuations in the location of the groundwater table, as well as perched or trapped water, can occur as a result of seasonal variations in precipitation, evaporation, surface runoff, and other factors not immediately apparent at the time of our exploration.

#### ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The site is a previously filled split hollow underlain by damp and soft colluvial soils. The proposed middle school footprint straddles both sides of the hollow. On the rear western side of the hollow our exploration encountered shallow rock near the surface. Up to 35 feet of existing fill over a layer of shot rock may be present in the old swale/hollow area near the southeastern corner of the proposed middle school building. Extensive relocation of existing utility lines will be required prior to beginning earthwork activities.

If the structure is supported on a conventional spread footing foundation, settlement through the middle of the building overlying the filled hollow can be up to 4 inches. We recommend a

drilled pier foundation system extended to bedrock to support the proposed building through the filled hollow sections. Approximately 30% of the building foundations around the south rear corner and western end can bear directly on the shale bedrock using conventional footings with some limited over-excavation to follow the sloping rock surface.

#### Site Preparation

All structural areas (as defined by the limits of the new building and 10 feet beyond in all directions) should be stripped of all asphaltic pavement, concrete sidewalks and curbs, topsoil, soft/wet soils, utilities and related backfill, trees and vegetation, lightpoles, structures, and any otherwise deleterious materials. Extensive removal and relocation of existing underground utilities will be required within the new building footprint. Upon completion of the stripping operations and prior to the placement of engineered fill required to achieve the proposed subgrade elevation, the exposed surface area should be proof-rolled using a fully loaded off-road articulating dump truck, under the supervision of qualified geotechnical personnel. The proof-rolling equipment should weigh at least 60 tons and make passes over the entire subgrade area in each of two perpendicular directions. This process can reduce settlement on the order of 33%. At least two days should be allocated for subgrade proof-rolling and consolidation operations. Localized soft or yielding areas identified during the proof-rolling activities should then be undercut and replaced with controlled, compacted, engineered fill as needed, in order to provide a firm subgrade.

It is recommended that all engineered fill or backfill required to reach the subgrade elevation within the building footprint be placed in maximum 8-inch lifts and compacted to at least 98% of the standard Proctor maximum density, as determined by ASTM D-698, and substantiated by onsite testing. The lift thickness should be reduced to 4 inches wherever hand operated equipment is used. The fill materials should be maintained within  $\pm 3\%$  of the optimum moisture content during placement. All engineered fill materials should have a liquid limit less than 40, a plasticity index less than 15, and a maximum aggregate particle size of 4 inches in any dimension. The existing soil materials can be reused as structural fill or backfill provided that the materials conform to the stated particle size limitation.

#### Utility Installation and Backfilling

New utility lines should be bedded using #8 limestone chips to at least 6 inches above the top of the pipe. All utility trenches, including drop inlets and manholes, can be backfilled from the top of the gravel bedding to the final subgrade elevation using 150 to 200 PCI CLSM/flowable fill for best long-term performance.

#### Foundation Design

Within the currently proposed building location, shallow bedrock is present over the approximate southwestern third of the building and a filled hollow containing up to 33 feet of unengineered soil and rock fill is present over the approximate eastern two-thirds of the building. We recommend that the proposed building be supported on the underlying bedrock, either by bearing the footers directly on the rock or extending to the bedrock within the deep filled hollow area

using deep foundations. Deep foundations are recommended given the magnitude of potential settlement produced by the deep hollow fill and underlying soft soils at this site.

We recommend all foundations surrounding the general southwestern third of the building be over-excavated, where necessary, to follow the bedrock surface to a maximum depth of 7.0 feet below the final surface elevation. All over-excavations should be backfilled to within 36 inches of the final exterior grade using 1,000 PSI lean concrete. Foundations bearing directly on excavated shale can be designed for an allowable bearing capacity of 3,000 pounds-per-squarefoot (psf). Care should be taken to not undermine the foundations of the existing building when over-excavations are performed adjacent to existing footings. Temporary bracing or shoring of existing shallow spread footings may be required.

The sections of the proposed building overlying the filled hollow can be founded on a drilled pier and grade beam foundation system bearing on the underlying bedrock. It is our opinion that a drilled pier (caisson) foundation system is the most suitable deep foundation option to support the proposed building, given the need to penetrate subsurface obstructions across the site. The caissons should be a minimum of 30-inches in diameter to provide adequate lateral stability and no pile caps are required. The caissons should bear within the underlying bedrock, with expected drilled caisson lengths ranging from 13 to 55 feet including the 6 foot rock socket. Carbonaceous shale was encountered at 10 to 14 feet below the surface on the eastern section where the caissons will penetrate through this layer.

#### **Design Recommendations**

The straight-shafted drilled caissons should bear on the underlying bedrock with expected shaft lengths of 13 to 55 feet. Our experience indicates that the bedrock at this site can be penetrated using earth augers, at least through the weathered zone. Rock augers are often required in unweathered shale, and depending upon the possible presence of any boulders, core barrels may also be needed. Boulders and other obstructions related to the prior filling of the building area may create problems for new foundation installation. Excavating and removing shallow boulders or obstructions may be required within some foundation areas, or the obstructions can be penetrated using a core barrel.

The drilled caissons should be extended into the competent bedrock, penetrating through the weathered rock by means of auger refusal. Auger refusal is herein defined as the inability to progress the earth auger under the 40,000 pounds of positive crowd force, and an available measured torque at the Kelly bar of 45,000 ft.-lbs. Based upon the results of our subsurface exploration, the drilled caisson lengths are estimated to range from 13 feet to 55 feet. After meeting true refusal on bedrock (not boulders), the drilled piers should be socketed a minimum of 6 feet into bedrock.

The minimum diameter of these shafts has been specified as 30 inches due to the lateral stability on the V-shaped valley and negative skin friction. The minimum caisson embedment depth into hard rock should be 6 feet and the drilled caisson lengths are estimated to range from 13 to 55 feet below the proposed grade. Drilled piers should be designed for 24,000 pounds-per-squarefoot (PSF) end bearing capacity, which includes skin friction between the concrete and rock within the socket.

As wide variations in the top of competent rock elevation will occur over the building footprint, it is recommended that the bottom elevations for the caissons be estimated based on our test boring logs. As the dominant rock formation within the area is weathered shale, significant differences in the depth of intact bedrock could occur due to the possible presence of detached boulders above the intact rock elevation.

#### **Installation**

Temporary steel casings will be required as fill and boulder/cobble conditions or seepage may allow caving of the holes. Seepage will occur, especially at the fill/natural soil and soil/bedrock interfaces. The foundation contractor should have casings available at the site.

The base of each caisson should be inspected and approved by the geotechnical engineer/geotechnical personnel prior to placement of concrete. No more than two (2) inches of water should be allowed to collect at the bottom of the caisson prior to concreting. Otherwise, the water should be pumped out or the concrete should be placed using a tremie. A concrete slump of 4 to 6 inches is recommended and care should be taken to maintain an adequate head of concrete above the tip of the casing as it is being withdrawn.

For a foundation system designed and constructed as recommended above, the differential settlement should be on the order of 0.25 inch. This would result in an angular distortion of approximately 0.001 inch-per-inch across a distance of 20 feet. The potential for cracking in masonry walls can be minimized by providing control/construction joints at critical locations and every 20 feet along the walls. At a minimum, the control/construction joints should be placed where changes in the wall height or loading conditions occur.

#### Floor Slab Support

The new engineered fill materials will be suitable for floor slab support following the recommended subgrade preparation activities. We recommend that any existing soft/wet materials or deposits of demolition debris within the building footprint be removed to the level of the underlying firm materials and be replaced with controlled, compacted, engineered fill.

The floor slab subgrade should be prepared as outlined in the previous <u>Site Preparation</u> section. The subgrade should be proof-rolled using a fully loaded tandem-axle dump truck under the supervision of the geotechnical engineer, or his authorized representative, to identify any areas in need of undercutting and replacement with controlled, compacted, engineered fill. A floor slab-on-grade underlain with a subgrade prepared as outlined above can be designed utilizing a modulus of subgrade reaction of 100 pounds-per-cubic-inch (pci).

A minimum 4 inch thick free-draining granular base course (#8 limestone chips) is recommended beneath the floor slab. The stone base material should be compacted to 85% of the maximum relative density. Prior to the placement of the slab concrete, we also recommend

that a vapor barrier conforming to ASTM E 1745 be placed on top of the compacted granular base material to provide moisture protection. The floor slab should be isolated from the building foundation so that any differential settlements would not induce shear stresses on the slab. This can be accomplished through the proper use and placement of construction joints. The surface curing of the slab should also be given attention, so as to minimize the width and propagation of any shrinkage cracks which may develop near the surface of the slab. Settlement of up to 2.5 inches for the slab-on-grade over the life of the building would have to be expected. Systematic proof-rolling can reduce the future settlement on the order of 33%. Periodic raising or leveling of slabs-on-grade will be required within the floor slab sections overlying the filled hollow. Slabs can be lifted back into place using pressurized injection of lime paste grout slurry, often referred to as mud jacking.

#### Seismic Soils Classification and Seismic Hazard Evaluation

Site Class D is recommended for the seismic design considerations, based upon our test borings, our knowledge and understanding of the area geology, and Table 1613.5.2 of the 2015 International Building Code (IBC). The overburden soils at this site are identified as Site Class D. The depth of weathered bedrock at this site varies widely below the present surface and belongs to Site Class B. Although the IBC site classification is based on the average soil conditions within the top 100 feet of the subsurface profile, the IBC permits the soil properties to be estimated by a geotechnical engineer based upon known regional geologic conditions where site-specific data is not available to the depth of 100 feet. A 100 foot deep test boring, possibly in conjunction with more sophisticated laboratory testing or field geophysical testing, would be required to more accurately determine the soil properties and soil site class. The actual seismic design should be performed by a structural engineer. The following potential seismic hazards resulting from earthquake motions have been evaluated.

- 1. A slope stability analysis was not included in the scope of this exploration. The ground surface within the building area is level and appears to be stable. Any exterior fill slopes planned for this project should be no steeper than 3H:1V with respect to potential instability resulting from earthquake motions.
- 2. The groundwater table was encountered during our subsurface exploration. Because the foundations will be supported on bedrock materials, liquefaction of the bearing soils due to earthquake motions will not be an issue.
- 3. As no ponds, slopes or low lying areas are present within the proposed building vicinity, lateral spreading is unlikely.
- 4. As no faults are present within the site area, surface rupture is unlikely.

The following seismic design recommendations are offered based on seismic design maps prepared and provided by IBC 2015.

• Mapped Acceleration Parameters  $S_s = 0.124$ 

June 15, 2022 Page 12 of 37

#### $S_1 = 0.064$

• Site Coefficients

 $F_a = 1.6$ 

- $F_v = 2.4$
- Seismic Design Parameters
  - $S_{MS} = 0.199$ 
    - $S_{M1} = 0.153$  $S_{DS} = 0.133$
    - $S_{D1}=0.102\,$

#### **Construction Considerations**

The exposed subgrade soils can deteriorate and lose support when exposed to construction activity and environmental changes (this is particularly true for the fine grained fill soils). Subgrade soil deterioration can occur in the form of freezing, erosion, softening from ponded water, and rutting from construction traffic. If the exposed subgrade surface in the slab areas becomes softened and deteriorated, it must be properly repaired through scarification and recompaction immediately prior to stone placement. If this has to be performed during wet weather conditions, it would be worthwhile to consider undercutting the disturbed soil and stabilizing with Portland cement, or providing a flowable fill "mud mat" working surface.

#### **Construction Monitoring**

Close testing and monitoring by geotechnical personnel will be a critical aspect of this project. At a minimum, these services should be provided during site preparation, structural fill placement, drilled pier installation, reinforcing steel installation, foundation construction, and floor slab construction.

#### LIMITATIONS

This report was prepared for use by Thrasher Group to aid in the design of this project. The report has been prepared in accordance with accepted geotechnical engineering practices and no other warranties, either expressed or implied, are made. The recommendations stated herein are contingent on American Geotech observing and evaluating all geotechnical aspects of the required work. We cannot be held responsible for any misinterpretations or improper implementation of our recommendations by other firms providing quality control services.

The recommendations presented in this report are based on data obtained from test borings made at the approximate locations shown on the Test Boring Location Plan. Variations which may exist between the test borings may not become evident until during construction. If significant variations are noted, we should be contacted so that the field conditions can be examined and the applicable recommendations revised, if necessary. Similarly, in the event of changes in the nature, design or location of the structure, or if other developments are planned, we should be notified so that we may review such changes to verify or make appropriate modifications to our previous conclusions and recommendations, which may be invalidated by any such changes.

ADDED: Addendum #1 June 15, 2022 Page 13 of 37

We recommend that this complete report be provided to the various design team members, the contractors and the project owner. Potential contractors should be informed of this report in the "Instructions to Bidders" section of the bid documents. The report should not be included or referenced in the actual contract documents.

June 15, 2022 Page 14 of 37

## TEST BORING LOCATION

American Geotech, Inc. 601 Ohio Avenue Charleston, West Virginia 25302



ADDED: Addendum #1 June 15, 2022 Page 16 of 37

## Soil Test Boring Logs and Laboratory Data

American Geotech, Inc. 601 Ohio Avenue Charleston, West Virginia 25302

#### Test Boring Log: Terminology and Symbols

#### Terminology

#### Grain Size

Soil Fraction		Particle Size	U.S. STD. Sieve Size
Boulders		Larger than 12"	Larger than 12"
Cobbles		3" to 12"	3" to 12"
Gravel	Coarse	¾" to 3"	¾" to 3"
	Fine	4.75 mm to ¾"	#4 to ¾"
Sand	Coarse	2.00 to 4.75 mm	#10 to #4
	Medium	0.425 to 2.00 mm	#40 to #10
	Fine	0.075 to 0.475 mm	#200 to #40
Fines	Clays & Silts	smaller than 0.075	smaller than #200

Plasticity characteristics differentiate between silts and clays

#### **Relative Density**

Term	"N" Value
very loose	0 - 4
loose	5 - 10
medium dense	11 - 30
dense	31 - 50
very dense	over 50

#### Consistency

Term	ID Procedures	"N" Value
Soft	Easily penetrated by thumb	0 - 4
Medium Stiff	Penetrated by thumb with moderate effort	5 - 8
Stiff	Penetrated by thumb with great effort	9 - 15
Very Stiff	Readily indented by thumbnail	16 - 30
Hard	Indented by thumbnail with difficulty	31 - 50
Very Hard		over 50

#### **Relative Moisture Description**

Dry	Soil noticeably below optimum moisture
Moist	near optimum, but less then liquid limit
Damp	near or exceeding liquid limit
Wet	soil below water table

#### **Symbols**

#### Drilling and Sampling

RC - Rock Coring: Sizes AW, BW, NW, NQ RQD - Rock Quality Designator DC - Drive Casing HSA - Hollow Stem Auger FA - Flight Auger AG - Auger HA - Hand Auger SS - 2" diameter Split Barrel Sampler ST - 3" diameter Thin-Walled Tube Sampler AS - Auger Sample WS - Wash Sample NR - No Recovery S- Sounding ATV - All Terrain Vehicle

#### Laboratory Tests

PP - Pocket Penetrometer Reading, Tons/ft<sup>2</sup> QU - Unconfined Strength, Tons/ft<sup>2</sup> W - Moisture Content, % LL - Liquid Limit, % PL - Plastic Limit,% D - Dry Unit Weight, lbs/ft<sup>3</sup>

#### Standard Penetration Test

The penetration resistance, or N-value as it is commonly referred to, is the summation of the number of blows required to drive the last two successive 6" penetrations of the 2" diameter -18" long split barrel sampler. The sampler is driven with a 140 lb. weight falling 30". The standard penetration test is performed in compliance with procedures as set forth in ASTM D-1586

#### Water Level Measurement

NW - No water encountered WD - While drilling BCR - Before casing removal ACR - After casing removal CW - Caved and wet CM - Caved and moist BP - Backfilled upon completion

ADDED. Audendum #1	
June 15, 2022	
<u></u>	

**BORING NO.** B-9

	L	DG	OF	TEST	BORING
--	---	----	----	------	--------

8225 T B

CLIENT Thrasher Group, Inc.

PROJECT Proposed New Spencer Middle School – Spencer, WV DATE START 1/28/22

BORING LOCATION As shown on plan DATE COMP. 1/28/22

ELEV. REF. None available PO. NO.

ELEV.	DEPTH	DESCRIPTION OF MATERIALS	SAMPLE				
FT.	FT.		NO.	TP	DEPTH	BLOWS/6"	REC.
	0.0 0.7	0.7' Asphalt(4") and gravel(4").					
		Brown and reddish-brown silty 1.3' clay with rock fragments, moist, stiff.	1	SS	0.0' - 1.5'	NS	
	2.0						
		1.8' Tan and brown shale, highly weathered, soft to tough.	2	SS	2.5' – 3.8'	39-31- <sup>30</sup> / <sub>3"</sub>	14"
	3.8	Split spoon refusal @ 3.8 feet. Boring completed.					
Didler n. LewisGeotechnical, EnvirRIG NO. CME-45Geotechnical, EnvirRIG TYPE Track601METHOD HSA/SSCharle		AMERICAN GEOTECH, Geotechnical, Environmental & Testing F 601 Ohio Avenue Charleston, WV 25302 304-340-4277			IMMEDIATE AT COMPLETIC AFTERF		FT. FT. FT.

					J	une 15, 2022		
	<u> </u>	LOG OF TEST	' Bſ	)RI		Page 19 of 37		
CLIENT	r <u> </u>	hrasher Group, Inc.				NG NO. <u> </u>	10	
PROJE	PROJECT Proposed New Spencer Middle School – Spe				DATE	<b>START</b> 1/28	/22	
BORIN	G LOCAI	<b>ION</b> As shown on plan			DATE	COMP1/28	3/22	
ELEV. I	REF	None available			PO. N	0		
ELEV.	DEPTH	DESCRIPTION OF MATERIALS			SAMP	ĽE		
FT.	FT.		NO.	TP	DEPTH	BLOWS/6"	REC.	
	0.0	0.7' Asphalt(4") and gravel(4").						
	0.7							
		Tan, brown and maroon silty clay 8.3' with rock fragments, trace boulders (FILL), moist, stiff.	1 2 3 4	SS SS SS SS	0.0' - 1.5' 2.5' - 4.0' 5.0' - 6.5' 7.5' - 9.0'	NS 4-7-7 5-5-6 6-27-9	14" 14" 12"	
	9.0	Boring completed.						
GENERAL DRILLER	H. Lewis	AMERICAN GEOTECH. Geotechnical, Environmental & Testing I		_	IMMEDIATE	L OBSERVATION	FT.	
RIG NO. <u>CME-45</u> RIG TYPE <u>Track</u> METHOD <u>HSA/SS</u>		601 Ohio Avenue Charleston, WV 25302			AT COMPLETIONNWFT.AFTERBPHRS.NWFT.WATER USED IN DRILLINGNWFT.			

304-340-4277

						une 15, 202	22	
	<u> </u>	LOG OF TEST	T BC	ORI		Page 20 of 3	<del>}/</del>	
CLIENT	r <u> </u>	Thrasher Group, Inc.			BORI	NG NO	<u>B – 1</u>	1
PROJE	PROJECT Proposed New Spencer Middle School – Sper			WV	DATE	START_	1/27/2	22
BORIN	G LOCAT	<b>FION</b> As shown on plan			DATE	COMP	1/27/2	22
ELEV. I	REF	None available			PO. N	0		
ELEV. FT.	DEPTH FT.	DESCRIPTION OF MATERIALS		1	SAMP	LE		
			NO.	TP	DEPTH	BLOWS	/6"	REC.
	0.0	1.0' Asphalt(6'') and gravel(6'').						
	1.0							
		Tan and brown shale and sandy 4.0' shale, highly weathered, soft to tough.	12	SS SS	0.0' - 1.5' 2.5' - 3.8'	NS 30-45- <sup>25</sup>	<sup>5</sup> / <sub>3"</sub>	15"
	5.0	Boring completed.						
GENERA	L NOTES	AMEDICAN OF OTTOIL		l	WATER LEVEI	OBSERVA	]	
DRILLER	H. Lewis	AMERICAN GEOTECH Geotechnical, Environmental & Testing			IMMEDIATE	<u>NW</u>		FT.
RIG NO. ( RIG TYPE		601 Ohio Avenue	Luguit		AT COMPLETIC AFTER <u>BP</u> H			FT. FT.
METHOD		Charleston, WV 25302	WATER USED IN DRILLING <u>NW</u> FT.					

304-340-4277

				_		une 15, 2022			
	Page 21 of 37 LOG OF TEST BORING								
CLIENT	CLIENT Thrasher Group, Inc. BORING NO. B – 12								
PROJE	CTPro	posed New Spencer Middle School – Spe	ncer, V	VV	DATE	<b>START</b> 1/28/	/22		
BORIN	G LOCAT	CION As shown on plan			DATE	COMP1/28	/22		
ELEV. I	REF	None available			PO. N	0	·····		
ELEV. FT.	DEPTH FT.	DESCRIPTION OF MATERIALS	NO.	ТР	SAMP DEPTH	LE BLOWS/6"	REC.		
	0.0	0.8' Asphalt(4") and gravel(5").		<u>Official Char</u>					
		Reddish-brown silty clay with 7.2' rock fragments (FILL), moist, very stiff to medium stiff.	1 2 3	SS SS SS	$0.0^{\circ} - 1.5^{\circ}$ $2.5^{\circ} - 4.0^{\circ}$ $5.0^{\circ} - 6.5^{\circ}$	NS 6-15-9 3-5-3	12" 8"		
	8.0 9.0	Brown and grayish-brown silty 1.0' clay with rock fragments, trace organics (FILL), moist, stiff. Boring completed.	4	SS	7.5' – 9.0'	3-8-7	17"		
							·		
GENERAL NOTES       AMERICAN GEOTECH, IN         DRILLER H. Lewis       Geotechnical, Environmental & Testing Engine         RIG NO. <u>CME-45</u> 601 Ohio Avenue         RIG TYPE Track       Charleston, WV 25302         METHOD HSA/SS       304-340-4277				_	IMMEDIATE AT COMPLETIC AFTER <u>BP</u> H		FT. FT. FT.		

ADDED: Addendum #1

			J	une 15, 2022			
		LOG OF TEST	BC	)RI		Page 22 of 37	
CLIENT	<b>г</b> Т	hrasher Group, Inc.		NG NOB	13		
	<u> </u>	posed New Spencer Middle School – Spe					
			DATE				
		None available			0.		
				~			
ELEV. FT.	DEPTH FT.	DESCRIPTION OF MATERIALS	NO	ТР	SAMP	2.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	DEC
	0.0	0.7' Asphalt(4.5") and gravel(4").	NO.		DEPTH	BLOWS/6"	REC.
		Maroon, brown and tan silty clay 7.3' with rock fragments, trace organics and boulders (FILL), moist, stiff to medium stiff.	1 2 3	SS SS SS	0.0' - 1.5' 2.5' - 4.0' 5.0' - 6.5'	NS 7-8-7 4-5-3	7" 10"
	8.0 9.0	<ul><li>1.0' Tan shale boulder (FILL), medium dense.</li><li>Boring completed.</li></ul>	4	SS	7.5' – 9.0'	6-25-21	18"
GENERAL NOTES DRILLER H. Lewis RIG NO. CME-45 RIG TYPE Track METHOD HSA/SSAMERICAN GEOTECI Geotechnical, Environmental & Testin 601 Ohio Avenue Charleston, WV 25302 304-340-4277					IMMEDIATE AT COMPLETIC AFTER <u>BP</u> I		FT. FT. FT.

June 15, 2022 Page 23 of 37

LOG OF TEST BORING									
CLIENT	ГТ	hrasher Group, Inc.	BORI	NG NO. <u>B</u> -	14				
PROJE	CTPro	posed New Spencer Middle School – Spe	DATE	START 1/27/	/22				
BORING	G LOCAT	TION As shown on plan	<b>DATE COMP.</b> 1/27/22						
ELEV. I	REF	None available	PO. NO						
ELEV.	DEPTH	DESCRIPTION OF MATERIALS			SAMP	LE			
FT.	<b>FT.</b>		NO.	TP	DEPTH	BLOWS/6"	REC.		
	0.0	0.8' Asphalt(4.5") and gravel(4.5").							
	0.8	Brown to maroon silty clay with 9.5' sand and rock fragments, trace cobbles (FILL), moist, stiff.	1 2 3 4	SS SS SS SS	$0.0^{\circ} - 1.5^{\circ}$ $2.5^{\circ} - 4.0^{\circ}$ $5.0^{\circ} - 6.5^{\circ}$ $7.5^{\circ} - 9.0^{\circ}$	NS 3-5-7 3-12-14 5-8-7	13" 12" 13"		
	10.3	Tan, gray and brownish-gray silty 17.2' clay with rock fragments (FILL), moist, stiff.	5	SS	10.0' – 11.5'	3-6-8	13"		
	27.5 33.0	Gray and brown sandstone and 5.5' shale rock (FILL), moist, medium dense.							
	▼	9.0' Gray silty clay with sand, damp to wet, soft.							
	42.0	4.0' Brown shale, weathered, soft.							
	<b>⊻</b> 46.0 47.0	1.0' Gray sandstone, hard.							
		Auger refusal @ 47.0 feet. Boring completed.							
GENERAL NOTES DRILLER H. Lewis RIG NO. CME-45 RIG TYPE Track METHOD HSA/SSAMERICAN GEOTECH, Geotechnical, Environmental & Testing E 601 Ohio Avenue Charleston, WV 25302 304-340-4277				_	IMMEDIATE(▼) AT COMPLETIC AFTER <u>CW</u>	N( <u>▼) 45.0</u>	FT. FT. FT.		

ADDED: Addendum #1 June 15, 2022 Page 24 of 37

		LOG OF TEST	BC	)RI	NG	0		
CLIENT	ГТ	hrasher Group, Inc.			BORI	NG NO. <u>B</u> -	15	
PROJE	CT Proj	posed New Spencer Middle School – Spe	ncer, V	<u>v</u> v	DATE	START1/27/	/22	
BORING	G LOCAT	TIONAs shown on plan			DATE	COMP. <u>1/27</u>	/22	
ELEV. I	REF	None available			PO. N	0		
ELEV.	DEPTH	DESCRIPTION OF MATERIALS			SAMP	LE		
FT.	FT.		NO.	ТР	DEPTH	BLOWS/6"	REC.	
	0.0	0.8' Asphalt(3.5") and gravel(6").						
	0.0	4.2' Gray silty clay with sand and cobbles (FILL), moist, very stiff.	1 2	SS SS	0.0' - 1.5' 2.5' - 4.0'	NS 4-10-20	16"	
	5.0	3.5' Tan and gray silty clay with rock fragments (FILL), moist, stiff.	3	SS SS	5.0' – 6.5' 7.5' – 9.0'	4-4-6 3-5-7	14" 7"	
	8.5	2.0' Tan, gray and maroon clay with shale fragments, moist, stiff.						
	10.5	4.5' Dark gray to black carbonaceous shale, clayey, very soft.	5	SS	10.0' – 11.5'	3-8-8	11"	
	15.0							
		5.0' Gray to brown shale, highly weathered, soft.						
	20.0		!					
		7.0' Gray shale, medium hard.						
	27.0	Auger refusal @ 27.0 feet. Boring completed.						
GENERAL NOTES DRILLER <u>H. Lewis</u> RIG NO. <u>CME-45</u> RIG TYPE <u>Track</u> METHOD <u>HSA/SS</u>		AMERICAN GEOTECH INC			WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS         IMMEDIATE       NW       FT.         AT COMPLETION       NW       FT.         AFTER       BP       HRS.       NW       FT.         WATER USED IN DRILLING       NW       FT.			

AMERICAN GEOTECH, INC. 601 Ohio Avenue Charleston, West Virginia 25302

The Thrasher Group, Inc. Proposed Spencer Middle School Spencer, West Virginia

# **TABULATION OF TEST DATA**

Hole No.	Sample No.	Depth (ft.)	Unconfined Compressive Strength	Failure Strain (%)	Dry Density (pcf)	Water Content (%)	Pocket Penetrometer (tsf)
B-10	S-2	2.5 - 4.0				20.7	3.25
	<b>S-</b> 3	5.0 - 6.5				18.6	4.25
B-12	S-2	2.5 - 4.0				13.9	4.0
	S-3	5.0 - 6.5				22.1	3.25
B-13	S-2	2.5 - 4.0				15.4	4.5
	S-3	5.0 - 6.5				20.6	2.75
B-14	S-2	2.5 - 4.0				16.0	4.0
	S-3	5.0 - 6.5				19.1	4.25
	S-4	7.5 - 9.0				17.1	4.0
B-15	S-2	2.5 - 4.0			-	19.9	2.75

#### June 15, 2022 Page 25 of 37

AMERICAN GEOTECH, INC. 601 Ohio Avenue ^harleston, West Virginia 25302

The Thrasher Group, Inc. Proposed Spencer Middle School Spencer, West Virginia

# **TABULATION OF TEST DATA**

	<u>ा</u>	<b>γ</b> ∙	1		 	 	 -
Pocket Penetrometer (tsf)	2.75	4.0					
Water Content (%)	21.8	19.8					
Dry Density (pcf)							
Failure Strain (%)							
Unconfined Compressive Strength (tsf)							
Depth (ft.)	5.0 - 6.5	7.5 - 9.0		-			
Sample No.	S-3	S-4					
No.							

#### ADDED: Addendum #1 June 15, 2022 Page 26 of 37

ADDED: Addendum #1 June 15, 2022 Page 27 of 37

### AMERICAN GEOTECH. INC.

GEOTECHNICAL, ENVIRONMENTAL AND TESTING ENGINEERS

601 OHIO AVENUE CHARLESTON, WV 25302 (304) 340-4277 Fax (304) 340-4278

February 28, 2022

Ms. Amanda Cheuvront The Thrasher Group, Inc. 300 Association Drive Charleston, WV 25311

Re: Addendum #1 Pyritic Sulfur Content and Recommendations Proposed New Spencer Middle School North Side of Existing Roane County High School Spencer, Roane County, West Virginia

Dear Ms. Cheuvront:

American Geotech, Inc. (AGI) performed a series of test borings at the above referenced site and submitted our geotechnical report on February 3, 2022. Several borings encountered two (2) carbonaceous shale layers below the surface at this site. The uppermost carbonaceous shale layer is around  $811\pm$  to  $815\pm$  feet and the second lower carbonaceous shale layer is around  $799\pm$  to  $804\pm$  feet, as compared to the finished floor elevation of 817.33 feet.

It is known that carbonaceous shale is associated with pyritic sulfur and oxidation of pyritic sulfur can cause swelling or heaving. Representative samples of both carbonaceous shale layers were sent to Standard Laboratories, Inc. to determine the pyrite content by analyzing the pyritic sulfur content.

#### **Pyrite Test Results**

<u>Boring</u>	Sample Depth (ft)	<u>Pyritic Sulfur</u>
B-2	2.5' - 4.0'	0.18% (dry basis)
B-15	10.0' - 11.5'	0.10% (dry basis)

The pyritic sulfur contents derived from the tests indicate the existence of pyrite in both carbonaceous shale layers. When oxidized (subjected to oxygen, moisture, and worsened by heat), new material can form which takes on a much larger volume. Expansive forces and heave can occur from these minerals. Oxidation of the iron sulfide minerals (often characterized by the mineral pyrite) to sulfate minerals causes a volume increase of 8 to 11 fold of the layer of the mineral formation, or up to 350% of the volume expansion randomly. This process can sometimes take a long time to occur as a delayed reaction as the pyrite chemically weathers.

ADDED: Addendum #1 June 15, 2022 Page 28 of 37

Some researchers have determined that sulfide mineral contents as low as about 0.1% can result in structural heave. Our tests indicated (pyritic sulfur) contents on the orders of 0.1% to 0.18% sulfide mineral on a dry basis.

In order for oxidation of the sulfide mineral to occur, it needs oxygen, moisture, and building heat. If the mineral and its formation are left alone and not disturbed, chances of exposure to oxygen, water, and heat are minimal. The footing excavations and utility trenches are the major sources of water infiltration under buildings. The upper layer of carbonaceous shale is at shallow depth and within the footing and utility trench excavation zone. The uppermost carbonaceous shale layer shall be systematically removed and disposed of within the area of shallow foundations. The deeper carbonaceous shale layer can be left in place, but the drilled piers must penetrate through the lower carbonaceous shale layer. It should be noted that the research has indicated the expansive forces of this mineral are from 9,000 PSF to 12,000 PSF. The end bearing capacity of the caissons is recommended to be 24,000 PSF; but if actual stress on any caisson is less than 12,000 PSF, it must penetrate the lower carbonaceous shale layer.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of services on this project. If you have any questions or require additional services, please feel free to contact us.

Respectfully Submitted, AMERICAN GEOTECH, IN Kanti S. Patel, P.E.

**Principal Engineer** 

ADDED: Addendum #1 June 15, 2022 Page 29 of 37

## ANALYTICAL TEST RESULTS

American Geotech, Inc. 601 Ohio Avenue Charleston, West Virginia 25302





ADDED: Addendum #1 June 15, 2022 CEPABE AD #2579.06-1 TESTING

#### **CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS**

Date Received:	2/2/2022
Report Date:	2/25/2022
Sample ID:	30-2200171001
Sample# B-2-2 Sp	encer Middle School

Sample Weight Unit: G Sample Date: 1/27/22

Sample Date: 1/27/22
PROXIMATE ANALYSIS

				Method
% Moisture	1.12			D3173
	As Received	Dry	MAF	
BTU/LB	X	X	X	D5865
% Ash	X	94.06		D3174
% Sulfur	X	0.04		D4239
% Volatile	X	Х		D3175
% Fixed Carbon	X	X		D3172
lbs SO <sub>2</sub> /MM BTU	X			
lbs ASH/MM BTU	X			

Customer Name: Customer Address: American Geotech Inc 601 Ohio Ave Charleston WV, 25302

Respectfully Submitted:

jlutsy 2-6

Sampled By: Customer Sample Weight: 249.7

	As Received	Dry	Method
% Sulfate	X	0.01	
% Pyritic	X	0.18	 D2402
% Organic	X	0.01	D2492
Total	0	0.18	

\* Indicates test not covered by accreditation.

N/D indicates result was under detectable range.

Data Applicable to Material Received



147 11th Ave, South Charleston, WV 25303, (304)744-5472



June 15, 2022 CEPrage Ste of 21/79.06-1 TESTING

#### **CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS**

Date Received:	2/2/2022
Report Date:	2/25/2022
Sample ID:	30-2200171002
Sample# B-15-5 S	pencer Middle School

Sample Weight Unit: G Sample Date: 1/27/22

**PROXIMATE ANALYSIS** Method % Moisture 3.74 D3173 As Received Dry MAF BTU/LB Х Х Х D5865 % Ash Х D3174 88.67 % Sulfur Х 0.07 D4239 % Volatile X X D3175 % Fixed Carbon Х Х D3172 lbs SO<sub>2</sub>/MM BTU X lbs ASH/MM BTU X

Customer Name: Customer Address: American Geotech Inc 601 Ohio Ave Charleston WV, 25302

Respectfully Submitted:

jlutsy 8-A

Sampled By: Customer Sample Weight: 227.5

	As Received	Dry	Method
% Sulfate	X	0.02	
% Pyritic	X	0.10	– D2492
% Organic	X	0.01	D2492
Total	0	0.12	

N/D indicates result was under detectable range.

Data Applicable to Material Received

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates test not covered by accreditation.

ADDED: Addendum #1 June 15, 2022 Page 32 of 37

### **TEST BORING LOG**

American Geotech, Inc. 601 Ohio Avenue Charleston, West Virginia 25302

ADDED:	Adde	nau	$\Pi \# I$	
	June	15,	2022	
	р	22	627	

LOG OF TEST BORING								
CLIENT Thrasher Group, Inc BORING NO B – 2								
PROJECTProposed New Spencer Middle School – Spencer, WVDATE START11/19/21								
BORING LOCATION As shown on plan DATE COMP. 11/19/21								
ELEV. REF. Estimated from site plan provided by the client PO. NO.								
ELEV. FT.	DEPTH FT.	DESCRIPTION OF MATERIALS		~	SAMI	PLE		
016			NO.	TP	DEPTH	BLOWS/6"	REC.	
816± 815.7	0.0	0.3' Topsoil. Maroon silty clay with rock						
		0.7' fragments (FILL), moist, medium stiff.	1	SS	0.0' - 1.3'	6-8- <sup>50</sup> / <sub>3"</sub>	14"	
815.0 814±	1.0 Bearing	3.5' Gray and brown shale, iron- stained, weathered, soft.	2	SS	2.5' - 4.0'	11-28-37	18"	
811.5	4.5	3.4' Gray shale, weathered, sandy @ 7 ft, tough.	3 4	SS SS	5.0' – 5.4' 7.5' – 7.9'	<sup>50</sup> / <sub>5</sub> "	5" 3"	
808.1	7.9	Boring completed.						
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
GENERAL NOTES DRILLER J. Francis RIG NO. CME-45 RIG TYPE Track METHOD HSA/SS		AMERICAN GEOTECH, INC. Geotechnical, Environmental & Testing Engineers 601 Ohio Avenue Charleston, WV 25302 304-340-4277			WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS         IMMEDIATE       NW         FT.         AT COMPLETION       NW         FT.         AFTER       BP         HRS.       NW         FT.         WATER USED IN DRILLING       NW			

		ADDED: Addendum #1 June 15, 2022 Page 34 of 37					
		LOG OF TEST	BC	)RI		uge 54 61 57	
CLIENT	ſŢ	hrasher Group, Inc.			BORI	NG NO. <u> </u>	3
PROJE	CT <u>Pro</u>	posed New Spencer Middle School – Spe	encer, V	<u>VV</u>	DATE	START_11/1	9/21
BORIN	G LOCAT	<b>ION</b> As shown on plan			DATE	COMP. <u>11/1</u>	9/21
ELEV. I	REF	Estimated from site plan provided by	he clie	<u>nt</u>	PO. N	0	
ELEV. FT.	DEPTH FT.	DESCRIPTION OF MATERIALS			SAMP	LE	
			NO.	TP	DEPTH	BLOWS/6"	REC.
815±	0.0	0.5' Asphalt(3") and gravel(3").					
814.5	0.5	0.5' Maroon silty clay with rock					
814.0	1.0	fragments (FILL), moist, stiff.					
	Bearing	3.5' Brown to gray shale, weathered, tough.	1 2	SS SS	0.5' - 1.9' 2.5' - 3.4'	11-42- <sup>50</sup> / <sub>5</sub> " 24- <sup>50</sup> / <sub>5</sub> "	17" 11"
810.5	4.5	2.0' Brown shale, weathered, iron-	3	SS	5.0' – 6.5'	14-32-22	18"
808.5	6.5	stained, soft. Boring completed.					
GENERAL DRILLER RIG NO( RIG TYPE METHOD	J. Francis CME-45 Track	AMERICAN GEOTECH Geotechnical, Environmental & Testing 601 Ohio Avenue Charleston, WV 25302 304-340-4277			IMMEDIATE AT COMPLETIC AFTERBPH		FT. FT. FT.

ADDED: Addendum #1 June 15, 2022

					P	Page 35 of 37	·		
LOG OF TEST BORING									
CLIENT Thrasher Group, Inc. BORING NO. B – 7									
PROJECT Proposed New Spencer Middle School – Spencer, WV DATE START11/18/21									
BORING LOCATION As shown on plan DATE COMP. 11/18/21									
ELEV. REF. Estimated from site plan provided by the client PO. NO.									
ELEV. FT.				SAMPLE					
<b>P1.</b>	• FT.		NO.	TP	DEPTH	BLOWS/6"	REC.		
815±	0.0	0.5' Asphalt(3") and gravel(3").							
814.5 814±	0.5 Bearing	Brown to reddish-brown silty clay	1	SS	0.5' – 2.0'	2-3-5	18"		
810.5	4.5	4.0' with rock fragments (FILL), moist, medium stiff.	2	SS	2.5' - 4.0'	2-2-3	7"		
810.5	1.0	Brown sandy clay with rock 6.0' fragments (FILL), moist, medium stiff.	3 4	SS SS	5.0' – 6.5' 7.5' – 7.7'	3-4-3 <sup>50</sup> / <sub>2"</sub>	9" 0"		
804.5	10.5	- Boulder @ 7 to 8.5 ft.							
		Orangish-brown and gray silty 3.5' clay with rock fragments, moist, stiff.	5	SS	10.0' – 11.5'	10-6-7	12"		
801.0	14.0	2.5' Dark gray to black carbonaceous shale, weathered, very soft.	6	SS	15.0' – 16.5'	2-7-7	11"		
798.5	16.5	Boring completed.							

GENERAL NOTES DRILLER J. Francis RIG NO. <u>CME-45</u> RIG TYPE <u>Track</u> METHOD <u>HSA/SS</u>	AMERICAN GEOTECH, INC. Geotechnical, Environmental & Testing Engineers 601 Ohio Avenue Charleston, WV 25302 304-340-4277	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONSIMMEDIATENWFT.AT COMPLETIONNWFT.AFTERBPHRS.NWFT.WATER USED IN DRILLINGNWFT.
---	--	---

ADDED: Addendum #1 June 15, 2022 Page 36 of 37

		LOG OF TEST	' BC	RI	NG		
CLIENT	г Т	hrasher Group, Inc.	_ ~			NG NO. <u>B</u> -	15
PROJECT							
BORING	G LOCAT	TION As shown on plan			DATE	COMP. <u>1/27</u>	/22
ELEV. REFEstimated from site plan provided by the clientPO. NO							
ELEV.	DEPTH	DESCRIPTION OF MATERIALS			SAMP	LE	
FT.	FT.		NO.	TP	DEPTH	BLOWS/6"	REC.
814± 813.2	0.0 Bearing 0.8	0.8' Asphalt(3.5") and gravel(6").					
013.2	0.0	4.2' Gray silty clay with sand and cobbles (FILL), moist, very stiff.	1 2	SS SS	0.0' - 1.5' 2.5' - 4.0'	NS 4-10-20	16"
809.0	5.0	3.5' Tan and gray silty clay with rock	3	SS	5.0' – 6.5'	4-4-6	14"
805.5	8.5	fragments (FILL), moist, stiff. 2.0' Tan, gray and maroon clay with	4	SS	7.5' – 9.0'	3-5-7	7"
803.5	10.5	shale fragments, moist, stiff.					
		4.5' Dark gray to black carbonaceous shale, clayey, very soft.	5	SS	10.0' – 11.5'	3-8-8	11"
799.0	15.0						
		5.0' Gray to brown shale, highly weathered, soft.				i	
794.0	20.0						
		7.0' Gray shale, medium hard.					
787.0	27.0	Auger refusal @ 27.0 feet. Boring completed.					
GENERA) DRILLER RIG NO. <u>(</u> RIG TYPE METHOD	H. Lewis CME-45 Track	AMERICAN GEOTECH, Geotechnical, Environmental & Testing I 601 Ohio Avenue Charleston, WV 25302 304-340-4277			IMMEDIATE AT COMPLETIC AFTER <u>BP</u> F		FT. FT. FT.



		June 15, Page 37	
PLAN LEGEND	THF		HEIR
<ul> <li>EXISTING CONTOURS</li> <li>EXISTING EDGE OF ASPHALT</li> <li>EXISTING WATER LINE</li> <li>EXISTING SANITARY SEWER LINE</li> <li>EXISTING BUILDING</li> <li>EXISTING BASEBALL FIELD</li> <li>EXISTING ASPHALT</li> <li>PROPOSED CONTOURS</li> <li>PROPOSED WATER LINE</li> <li>PROPOSED WATER LINE</li> <li>PROPOSED STORM LINE</li> <li>PROPOSED STORM LINE</li> <li>PROPOSED DITCH LINE</li> <li>PROPOSED ASPHALT</li> </ul>	F BRIDG P ( F ( WWW.th THE INFORMAT THE SOLE PRO GROUP, INC. F DOCUMENTS I ANY REASON PERMISSION	HITE OAKS P.O. BOX 94( EPORT, WV 304) 624-41( 304) 624-783 ethrashergro rion contained operty of the Reproduction N WHOLE, OR IN WITHOUT PRIOR IS STRICTLY PRO OPYRIGHT © 2021 RASHER GROUP	2) 2/ 26330 28 31 up.com HEREIN IS IHRASHER OF THESE PART FOR WRITTEN DHIBITED.
PLAN NOTES			
1. THE ENTIRETY OF THE PROJECT SITE IS WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE FOLLOWING PROPERTY:			
DISTRICT 7 - SPENCER DISTRICT TAX MAP 27, PARCEL 6.8 BOARD OF EDUCATION DB 0341, PG 0384 49.85 ACRES			NO. BY DATE DESCRIPTION
	THE NEW SPENCER MIDDLE SCHOOL DRAMN: CIM CHECKED: JI APPROVED:	G DATE: 0	3/04/22
	APPROVED: √ PROJECT №.	060-10259	3/04/22
	OVER	ALL SITE	PLAN
30' 0 30' 60'	SHEET NO.	2.0 <sup>2</sup>	1

ADDED: Addendum #1